Appendix 2

Terms of Reference for review of proposal to remove through traffic from Widcombe parade

The Council would like to commission an independent review of the scheme to advise on:

- 1. The use of DMRB design standards for the new junction to the west of Widcombe Parade where westbound traffic moves back from Rossiter Road to Claverton Street.
- 2. The arrangement of the junctions at the eastern end of Claverton Street particularly whether these need to have traffic signals in the light of the need to avoid additional congestion on the A36.
- 3. The use of the most recent advice from DfT found in Manual for Streets 2 to develop an alternative approach to the junction layouts.
- 4. Is it realistic that £1.8m will provide a scheme to meet the objectives outlined above? If an alternative scheme would meet the objectives could you provide indicative costings.
- 5. Whether a new junction design at Rossiter Road/Widcombe Parade, that does not involve a heavily engineered solution, could provide for eastbound traffic to turn right from Rossiter Road into Lyncombe Hill. (The Highway Authority did consider the proposal shown on drawing no. TC8821/07.)
- 6. Whether a drop off can be provided on the north side of Rossiter Road in the vicinity of the footbridge that provides access to Bath Spa train station.
- 7. To comment on any liabilities the Council would be subject to as a result of further amendments to these design proposals.
- 8. The review should commence as soon as the commission has been accepted
- 9. The conduct of the project will be overseen by a Steering Committee whose members include Councillors, Officers and Widcombe Association (the local residents' association) members.
- 10. As a first step the consultants will interview members of the steering committee in order to obtain a clear view of the issues.
- 11. The consultants will then address the issue of DMRB standards (item 1. above) and report back on their conclusions.
- 12. The consultants' final report will be considered by the Steering Committee on 15 December. It must be available at least a week beforehand to enable members to consider any issues raised.
- 13. In their price submission, the consultants should provide an estimate of maximum project cost, outlining assumed man hours and fee scales

- 14. The use of DMRB design standards for the new junction to the west of Widcombe Parade where westbound traffic moves back from Rossiter Road to Claverton Street.
- 15. The arrangement of the junctions at the eastern end of Claverton Street particularly whether these need to have traffic signals in the light of the need to avoid additional congestion on the A36.
- 16. The use of the most recent advice from DfT found in Manual for Streets 2 to develop an alternative approach to the junction layouts.
- 17. Is it realistic that £1.8m will provide a scheme to meet the objectives outlined above? If an alternative scheme would meet the objectives could you provide indicative costings.
- 18. Whether a new junction design at Rossiter Road/Widcombe Parade, that does not involve a heavily engineered solution, could provide for eastbound traffic to turn right from Rossiter Road into Lyncombe Hill. (The Highway Authority did consider the proposal shown on drawing no. TC8821/07.)
- 19. Whether a drop off can be provided on the north side of Rossiter Road in the vicinity of the footbridge that provides access to Bath Spa train station.
- 20. To comment on any liabilities the Council would be subject to as a result of further amendments to these design proposals.
- 21. The review should commence as soon as the commission has been accepted
- 22. The conduct of the project will be overseen by a Steering Committee whose members include Councillors, Officers and Widcombe Association (the local residents' association) members.
- 23. As a first step the consultants will interview members of the steering committee in order to obtain a clear view of the issues.
- 24. The consultants will then address the issue of DMRB standards (item 1. above) and report back on their conclusions.
- 25. The consultants' final report will be considered by the Steering Committee on 15 December. It must be available at least a week beforehand to enable members to consider any issues raised.
- 26. In their price submission, the consultants should provide an estimate of maximum project cost, outlining assumed man hours and fee scales